arXiv:1606.05240v2 [cs.DS] 18 Mar 2017

A LINEAR TIME ALGORITHM FOR A VARIANT OF THE MAX CUT PROBLEM IN SERIES PARALLEL GRAPHS

BRAHIM CHAOURAR

Abstract. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, a connected sides cut $(U, V\backslash U)$ or $\delta(U)$ is the set of edges of E linking all vertices of U to all vertices of $V\backslash U$ such that the induced subgraphs $G[U]$ and $G[V\backslash U]$ are connected. Given a positive weight function w defined on E, the maximum connected sides cut problem (MAX CS CUT) is to find a connected sides cut Ω such that $w(\Omega)$ is maximum. MAX CS CUT is NP-hard. In this paper, we give a linear time algorithm to solve MAX CS CUT for series parallel graphs. We deduce a linear time algorithm for the minimum cut problem in the same class of graphs without computing the maximum flow.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 90C27, 90C57.
Key words and phrases: maximum cut, maximum connected sides cut, linear time algorithm, series parallel graphs, minimum cut.

1. Introduction

Sets and their characterisitic vectors will not be distinguished. We refer to Bondy and Murty [5] about graph theory terminolgy and facts. Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and positive weights $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$ on the edges $(i, j) \in E$, the maximum cut problem (MAX CUT) is that of finding the set of vertices S that maximizes the weight of the edges in the cut $(S, V\backslash S)$ or $\delta(S)$ or $\delta(V\backslash S)$; that is, the weight of the edges with one endpoint in S and the other in $V\backslash S$. The (decision variant of the) MAX CUT is one of the Karps original NP-complete problems [9], and has long been known to be NP-complete even if the problem is unweighted; that is, if $w_{ij} = 1$ for all $(i, j) \in E$ [6]. This motivates the research to solve the MAX CUT problem in special classes of graphs. The MAX CUT problem is solvable in polynomial time for the following special classes of graphs: planar graphs [2, 8, 10], line graphs [7], graphs with bounded treewidth, or cographs [4]. But the problem remains NP-complete for chordal graphs, undirected path graphs, split graphs, tripartite graphs, graphs that are the complement of a bipartite graph [4] and planar graphs if the weights are of arbitrary sign [13]. Besides

its theoretical importance, the MAX CUT problem has applications in circuit layout design and statistical physics [1]. For a comprehensive survey of the MAX CUT problem, the reader is referred to Poljak and Tuza [11] and Ben-Ameur et al. [3]. The best known algorithm for MAX CUT in planar graphs has running time complexity $O(n^{3/2}logn)$, where n is the number of vertices of the graph [12]. The main result of this paper is to exhibit a linear time algorithm for a special variant of MAX CUT in series parallel graphs.

Let us give some definitions. Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and a subset of vertices U, a connected sides cut $\delta(U)$ is a cut where both induced subgraphs $G[U]$ and $G[V \backslash U]$ are connected. Special connected sides cuts are trivial cuts, i.e. cuts with one single vertex in one side. The corresponding weighted variant of MAX CUT for connected sides cuts is called MAX CONNECTED SIDES CUT problem (MAX CS CUT). It is clear that MAX CUT and MAX CS CUT are the same problem for complete graphs. Since MAX CUT is NP-hard for complete graphs (see [9]) then MAX CS CUT is NP-hard in the general case. Another motivation is that MAX CS CUT gives a lower bound for MAX CUT.

A parallel closure of a graph is an induced subgraph on two vertices. A series extension of the graph $G = (V, E)$ based on the edge $e \in E$ is adding a vertex v of degree 2 in the middle of e in order to have two edges instead of e. A parallel extension of G based on the edge e is adding an edge f having the same incident vertices as e. Series parallel graphs are graphs obtained by applying recursively series and/or parallel extensions starting from one edge. A series degree of a vertex v in a graph G is the degree of v after replacing every parallel closure of G by one single edge. A series labeling of the vertices of a series parallel graph is a labeling of the vertices from 0 to $n-1 = |V|-1$ starting from the first two vertices v_0 and v_1 and so on to the last added vertex. Any series parallel graph contains at least one vertex of series degree 2. So, given a vertex v of series degree 2 with the two parallel closures P_0 and P_1 incident to v, and the two adjacent vertices u_0 and u_1 to v, we can contract all edges of P_0 (or P_1) and replace v by u_0 (or u_1), and we obtain a new series parallel graph with a new vertex of series degree 2. Each involved graph in any step of this process is labeled $G_j, 0 \leq j \leq n-1$, with $G_{n-1} = G$ and G_1 is the induced subgraph on the two vertices v_0 and v_1.

Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs with e_j an edge of $G_j, j = 1, 2$. The 2-sum of G_1 and G_2, denoted $G_1 \oplus_e G_2$, based on the edges e_1 and e_2 is the graph obtained by identifying e_1 and e_2 on an edge e, and keeping

$G_j/e_j, j = 1, 2$, as it is.
We say that MAX CS CUT is linear for a class of graphs if there is a linear time algorithm to solve it in such class.
The remaining of the paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we give a linear time algorithm for MAX CS CUT in series parallel graphs, in section 3, we prove that 2-sums preserve the linearity of MAX CS CUT. We deduce a linear time algorithm for MIN CUT in series parallel graphs in section 4, and we conclude in section 5.

2. MAX CS CUT is linear for series parallel graphs

MAXCSCUTSP Algorithm:
Input: A series parallel graph $G = (V, E)$ with a series labeling of V, a positive weight function w defined on E.
Output: A w-maximum connected sides cut Ω in G.
0) Begin
1) $j := n - 1$;
2) While $j > 1$ do
3) Begin
4) Let P_0 and P_1 be the two parallel closures incident to v_j in G_j:
5) If $w(P_0) > w(P_1)$ then contract P_1;
6) Else: contract P_0;
7) $j := j - 1$;
6) End of While
7) $j := 2$;
8) $\Omega := E(G_1)$;
9) While $j \leq n - 1$ do
10) Begin
11) Let P_0 and P_1 the two parallel closures incident to v_j in G_j:
12) If $w(P_0) + w(P_1) > w(\Omega)$ then $\Omega := P_0 \cup P_1$;
13) $j := j + 1$;
14) End of While
15) End of MAXCSCUTSP algorithm.
This algorithm has two phases: Phase I (steps 1-6) and Phase II (steps 7-14). In each step, we do roughly n operations, so the complexity of MAXCSCUTSP is $O(n)$, where $n = |V|$.

Theorem 2.1. *MAXCSCUTSP algorithm solves MAX CS CUT in series parallel graphs.*

Proof. The summary of the algorithm is as follows: MAXCSCUT chooses a vertex v with series degree 2 (step 4) and contract the less weighted parallel closure incident to v (steps 5 and 6). And so on the resulted graph until it reaches G_1 , the starting single parallel closure (Phase

I). In G_1, the w-maximum connected sides cut is $E(G_1)$ (step 8). After that, it goes in the reverse path (Phase II): the w-maximum connected sides cut is either the trivial cut based on the current vertex v_j with series degree 2 or the current computed connected sides cut (step 12). Let v_j be the chosen vertex with series degree 2 in G_j, P_0 and P_1 the two parallel closures incident to v_j. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $w(P_0) < w(P_1)$ and $G_{j-1} = G_j/P_0$. Let Ω_j be the w-maximum connected sides cut in $G_j, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$. It suffices to prove that $w(\Omega_j) = Max\{w(\Omega_{j-1}), w(P_0 \cup P_1)\}$.
Let Ω be a connected sides cut in G_j distinct from $P_0 \cup P_1$. Since $w(P_0) < w(P_1)$, we have only two cases:
Case 1: $P_1 \subseteq \Omega$ then Ω is a connected sides cut in $G_{j-1} = G_j/P_0$ containing P_1. And vice versa, any connected sides cut in $G_{j-1} = G_j/P_0$ containing P_1 is a connected sides cut in G_j containing P_1.
Case 2: $P_1 \nsubseteq \Omega$ then Ω is a connected sides cut in $G_{j-1} = G_j/P_0$ not containing P_1. And vice versa, any connected sides cut in $G_{j-1} = G_j/P_0$ not containing P_1 is a connected sides cut in G_j not containing P_1.
So the connected sides cuts candidates for the w-maximum connected sides cut in G_j and G_{j-1} are the same, except $P_0 \cup P_1$. □

Note that MAXCSCUT algorithm solves MAX CS CUT in series parallel graphs even for arbitrary sign weight functions.

3. 2-sums preserve linearity of MAX CS CUT

Let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the class of connected sides cuts of G. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. $\mathcal{C}(G_1 \oplus_e G_2) = \{\Omega_j \in \mathcal{C}(G_j) : e_j \notin \Omega_j, j = 1, 2\} \cup \{\Omega_1 \oplus_e \Omega_2 : \Omega_j \in \mathcal{C}(G_j)$ *and* $e_j \in \Omega_j, j = 1, 2\}$.

It follows that a w-maximum connected sides cut in $G_1 \oplus_e G_2$ is one of the three following connected sides cuts:
(cases 1-2) one of the two w-maximum connected sides cuts in G_j which does not contain $e_j, j = 1, 2$,
(case 3) or the 2-sum of the w-maximum connected sides cuts containing $e_j, j = 1, 2$.
To find a w-maximum connected sides cut in G_j which does not contain $e_j, j = 1, 2$ (case 2), we have to contract e_j. We need then to perform at most $c(n_j - 1)$ operations, where c is the linearity coefficient and $n_j, j = 1, 2$ is the number of vertices of G_j (by induction).
To find $\Omega_1 \oplus_e \Omega_2$ (case 3), we have to put $w(e_j), j = 1, 2$, as big as possible, e.g. sum of the positive weights of all edges, and find $\Omega_j, j = 1, 2$.

In this case, we need to perform at most $c(n_1 + n_2)$ operations (by induction).
So we have to compute MAX CS CUT twice in each graph and compare three cuts. The total number of operations is bounded then by $2c(n_1 + n_2 - 1) = 2c(n - 1)$, where n is the number of vertices of $G_1 \oplus_e G_2$. So linearity of the problem is preserved.

4. MIN CUT is linear for series parallel graphs

MINCUTSP Algorithm:
Input: A series parallel graph $G = (V, E)$ with a series labeling of V, a positive weight function w defined on E.
Output: A w-minimum connected sides cut Ω in G.
We keep the same steps as MAXCSCUTSP algorithm except the following changes in two steps:
5) If $w(P_0) < w(P_1)$ then contract P_1;
12) If $w(P_0) + w(P_1) < w(\Omega)$ then $\Omega := P_0 \cup P_1$;
Since this algorithm is similar to MAXCSCUTSP, then its complexity is $O(n)$, where $n = |V|$.
And it is not difficult to see, similarly to MAXCSCUTSP, that MINCUTSP gives the minimum weighted connected sides cut in a series parallel graph without computing the maximum flow.
We can conclude with the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Given a connected graph $G = (V, E)$ and a positive weight function w defined on E. Then any w-minimum cut is a connected sides cut of G.*

Proof. Let $\delta(U)$ be a cut with $G[U]$ disconnected. It suffices to prove that $\delta(U)$ is not a w-minimum cut. Let $G[U_1]$ be one connected component of $G[U]$. Since G is connected, then $w(V\backslash U, U_1) > 0$ (i.e. there are edges between $V\backslash U$ and U_1). It follows that $w(\delta(U\backslash U_1)) = w(\delta(U)) - w(V\backslash U, U_1) < w(\delta(U))$. □

Another consequence of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 is the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *2-sums preserves the linearity of MIN CUT.*

5. Conclusion

We have introduced a new variant of MAX CUT: MAX CS CUT, which is also NP-hard. We have provided two linear time algorithms for MAX CS CUT and MIN CUT, respectively, in series parallel graphs. We have proved that 2-sums preserve the linearity of MAX CS CUT

and MIN CUT. Further directions are to study MAX CS CUT in larger classes of graphs than series parallel graphs.

Acknowledgements The author is grateful to the deanship of Scientific Research at Al Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU) for supporting financially this research under the grant No 331203.

References

[1] F. Barahona, M. Grtschel, M. Jnger, and G. Reinelt (1988), *An application of combinatorial optimization to statistical physics and circuit layout design*, Operations Research 36: 493-513.

[2] F. Barahona, (1990), *Planar multicommodity flows, max cut, and the Chinese postman problem*, in: Polyhedral Combinatorics, Proceedings DIMACS Workshop, Morristown, New Jersey, 1989, W. Cook, P.D. Seymour (eds.) [DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, Volume 1], American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island: 189202.

[3] W. Ben-Ameur, A. R. Mahjoub, and J. Neto, *The maximum cut problem*, in: Paradigms of Combinatorial Optimization, Problems and New Approaches, 2nd edition, J. Wiley and Sons, USA, V. T. Paschos (ed.), 2014.

[4] H. L. Bodlaender and K. Jansen (2000), *On the Complexity of the Maximum Cut Problem*, Nordic Journal of Computing 7(1): 14-31.

[5] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty (2008), *Graph Theory with Applications*, Elsevier, New York.

[6] M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson, and L. Stockmeyer (1976), *Some simplified NP-complete graph problems*, Theoretical Computer Science 1: 237-267.

[7] V. Guruswami (1999), *Maximum cut on line and total graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics 92 (2-3): 217-221.

[8] F. Hadlock (1975), *Finding a maximum cut of a planar graph in polynomial time*, SIAM Journal on Computing 4: 221-225.

[9] R. M. Karp (1972), *Reducibility among combinatorial problems*, in: Complexity of Computer Computations, Miller and Thatcher, Plenum Press: 85-104.

[10] G. I. Orlova and Y. G. Dorfman (1972), *Finding the maximal cut in a graph*, Engineering Cybernetics: 502-506.

[11] Poljak and Tuza (1995), *The max-cut problem – a survey*, in: Special Year on Combinatorial Optimization, W. Cook, L. Lovasz and P. Seymour (eds.), DIMACS series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, American Mathematical Society, 1995.

[12] W.-K. Shih, S. Wu, and Y. S. Kuo (1990), *Unifying maximum cut and minimum cut of a planar graph*, IEEE Transactions on Computers 39 (5): 694697.

[13] A. P. Terebenkov (1991), *NP-completeness of maximum-cut and cycle-covering problems for a planar graph*, Cybernetics and Systems Analysis 27 (1): 16-20.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Al Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU), P.O. Box 90950, Riyadh 11623, Saudi Arabia, Correspondence address: P.O. Box 287574, Riyadh 11323, Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: bchaourar@hotmail.com